

#### **NRC RTR Oversight Program**

#### **Johnny Eads**

Chief, RTR Oversight Branch U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 301-415-1471; johnny.eads@nrc.gov September 22, 2010



## Outline

- 2010 Inspection Findings and Trends
- 2011 Inspection Plans
- 2010 Examination Trends
- 2011 Examination Plans
- Community Feedback



## **2010 Inspection Summary**

- 41 Routine Safety Inspections
- 18 Routine Security Inspections
- 6 Non-Routine Inspections
- 1 Backshift Unannounced Inspection (Sunday night thru Monday morning)

# Inspection Findings in 2010

- Level IV (cited) Requalification program failure to conduct required annual operating tests for reactor operators
- Level IV (non-cited) Missed TS calibration check of area and stack radiation monitors
- Level IV (non-cited) Reactor startup with TS required gas stack monitor inoperable
- Level IV (non-cited) Inoperable exhaust stack sampler pump during reactor operations
- Level IV (non-cited) Multiple TS required audits not completed as required



#### **Facility Management Changes**

- Major turnover of RTR management and staff continues from FY09 to FY10.
- Management of knowledge transfer is critical to continued regulatory compliance.
- Increased inspection focus on staffing issues



## **Tritium Leakage**

- Two RTR facilities completed major maintenance to repair leaking components
- Uncontrolled tritium leakage remains a serious concern at all nuclear facilities.
- Even when leakage is less than planned release limits for tritium, uncontrolled leakage remains a major public confidence issue
- Emphasis placed on voluntary reporting and long term corrective action plans



## **Security Issues**

- Increased number of alarm monitoring failures 4 in 2010
- Dedicated phone lines disconnected or failed
- Computer routing failures
- Corrective actions include redundant routing of alarm signals, automated monitoring for failures, improved designation for critical alarm circuits



#### **Inspector Assignments**

	Craig Bassett	Greg Schoenebeck	Jack Donohue	Mike Morlang
Class 1	NIST		Rhode Island	
	MIT			
	UC -Davis			
	MURR			
Class II	Aerotest	GE	Dow	Ohio State
	Oregon State	Idaho State	NC State	Purdue
	Reed College	Kansas State	RPI	Mo. University
	U. Texas	Penn State	U. Florida	Texas A&M - AGN
	U. Utah	UC - Irvine	U. Mass - Lowell	Texas A&M -Triga
		U. New Mexico	USGS	U. Arizona
				U. Wisconsin
				Washington St



## **2011 Inspection Schedule**

- 42 Routine Safety Inspections
- 8 Routine Security Inspections
- 7 Non-Routine Inspections
  - Aerotest
  - MIT
  - Reed College
  - Texas A&M AGN
  - U. Florida
  - U. Maryland
  - U. Utah

1 Unannounced backshift inspection



## **2010 License Examination Trends**

- 27 operator license examinations conducted for approximately 100 candidates
- 75 percent of examinations conducted had no failures
- 4 facilities had a greater than 50% failure rate including 1 with 100% failures



#### **Examination Failure Trends**

- Less than adequate management involvement in operator training
- Overconfidence in candidate preparedness with insufficient training conducted
- Failure to conduct candidate screening prior to NRC administered exams



#### **FY 2011 Examination Schedule**

- The 2011 operator examination schedule is filling up quickly
- 17 operator examinations already scheduled for FY 2011
- In FY 2011 cross-qualifying two inspectors to become license examiners for a total of 6 examiners being available



#### **Community Feedback**

- We are here to listen to your feedback
- Where can we improve our performance or processes?
- Other concerns or comments?



#### Questions and Answers from TRTR Community

